FILED OF JAN 23 07:460500-0RP IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 09- 29- HA
v.	j .
) <u>INDICTMENT</u>
MARINA G. CHERNOVA,) 18 U.S.C. § 1343
) (Wire fraud)
Defendant.) 18 U.S.C. § 1341
) (Mail fraud)
) 18 U.S.C. § 1028A
) (Aggravated identity theft)

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW TO ALL COUNTS</u>

- 1. Beginning in or about September 2007, and continuing through March 2008, within the District of Oregon and elsewhere, defendant MARINA G. CHERNOVA knowingly and intentionally devised and intended to devise, and participated in, a material scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises (Scheme to Defraud).
 - 2. It was part of the Scheme to Defraud that:
- (a) CHERNOVA, using her true identity, established a shell company in Oregon named Alpha Design;
- (b) CHERNOVA established bank accounts for Alpha Design at U.S. Bank and Bank of America, again using her true identity;
- (c) CHERNOVA then applied for and received merchant credit card processing accounts for Alpha Design from RBSLynk and Chase Paymentech, using the stolen or

fraudulently obtained name, social security number, and date of birth of F.S.W., an individual known to the grand jury;

Document 1

- (d) CHERNOVA submitted or caused to be submitted fraudulent credit card charges from Alpha Design to RBSLynk and Chase Paymentech, causing RBSLynk and Chase Paymentech to deposit money in the charged amounts to the Alpha Design bank accounts at U.S. Bank and Bank of America;
- CHERNOVA caused those amounts to be withdrawn from the Alpha (e) Design bank accounts before the fraud was detected; and
- CHERNOVA would use interstate wire communications and commercial (f) interstate carriers to carry out the scheme.

COUNTS 1-2 (Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1343)

- 3. Paragraphs 1 and 2 are re-alleged and incorporated herein.
- 4. On or about the dates listed below, within the District of Oregon and elsewhere, defendant MARINA G. CHERNOVA, for the purpose of executing the Scheme to Defraud and attempting to do so, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted in interstate commerce by means of wire communication, writings, signs, signals, and pictures, to the recipients and at the locations shown below, each interstate wire communication being a separate count of the Indictment:

Page 3 of 5

Count	Date (on or about)	Wire	Origin	Destination	Use of Communication	Recipient Company
1	12/11/07	Fax	Portland, OR	Dallas, TX	Application for credit card merchant account on behalf of Alpha Design, using stolen or fraudulently obtained identity of F.S.W.	Chase Paymentech
2	12/11/07	Fax	Portland, OR	Atlanta, GA	Application for credit card merchant account on behalf of Alpha Design, using stolen or fraudulently obtained identity of F.S.W.	RBSLynk

all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

COUNTS 3-4 (Mail Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1341)

- Paragraphs 1 and 2 are re-alleged and incorporated herein. 5.
- On or about the dates listed below, within the District of Oregon and elsewhere, 6. defendant MARINA G. CHERNOVA, for the purpose of executing the Scheme to Defraud and attempting to do so, did knowingly cause to be delivered by DHL and Federal Express, commercial interstate carriers, credit card processing machines and other related equipment to the recipients and at the locations shown below, each use of such interstate carrier being a separate count of the Indictment:

Page 4 of 5

Count	<u>Date</u> (on or about)	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	Carrier
3	12/17/07	Chase Paymentech (Dallas TX)	Alpha Design (Portland, OR)	DHL
4	12/27/07	RBSLynk (Louisville, KY)	Alpha Design (Portland, OR)	FEDEX

all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

COUNT 5 (Aggravated Identity Theft, 18 U.S.C. § 1028A)

- 7. Counts 1-4 are re-alleged and incorporated herein.
- 8. On or about December 11, 2007, in the District of Oregon and elsewhere, defendant MARINA G. CHERNOVA, did knowingly transfer, possess, and use, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person during and in relation to felony violations of mail fraud and wire fraud under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341 and 1343, as alleged in Counts 1-4, to wit:, by knowingly transferring, possessing, and using, without lawful authority, the name, social security number, and date of birth of F.S.W., an individual known to the grand jury, to apply for merchant credit card processing accounts from Chase Paymentech and RBSLynk on behalf of Alpha Design, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A(a)(1).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

9. Upon conviction of one or more of the offenses alleged in Counts 1 through 4 of this Indictment, defendant MARINA G. CHERNOVA shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2) any property constituting or derived form proceeds obtained directly or indirectly as the result of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1341 and 18 U.S.C. § 1343, including but not

limited to:

- \$138,000 cash,
- the merchant credit card processing machine received from Chase Paymentech, and
- the merchant credit card processing machine received from RBSLynk.
- omission of the defendant: (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty; it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p) as incorporated by 18 U.S.C. § 982(b), to seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendant up to the value of the forfeitable property described above.

DATED this 22 day of January, 2009.

A TRUE BILL.

OFFICIATING FOREPERSON

KARIN J. IMMERGUT United States Attorney District of Oregon

Presented by:

STACIE F. BECKERMAN, OSB #062397

Assistant United States Attorney

District of Oregon

PAGE 5 - INDICTMENT (United States v. Chernova)